IADC/SPE 217694 Thermal stimulation of Annular Shale Barriers for Long-Term Well Integrity

SPE Well Decommissioning & Late Well Life in the Net Zero Era, Aberdeen 5th of June 2024

Dr Eric van Oort (University of Texas at Austin) Alex Lucas (TotalEnergies Upstream Danmark A/S) Johan Kverneland (TotaEnergies Norge AS) Rune Godøy (Equinor) Havard Reitan (Equinor) Munir Aldin (Metarock Laboratories) Akshay Thombare (Metarock Laboratories)





The University of Texas at Austin what starts here changes the world



Presentation outline



- Barrier forming shales
- Artificial shale barrier stimulation
- Thermal effects: experimental data
- Thermal effects: literature data
- Safe upper temperature limit
- Conclusions
- Lookahead: SAAB phases II & III
- Acknowledgment





Barrier-Forming Shales



- High total clay content (> 50%).
- Significant free and mixed-layered smectite content (> 10%).
- High porosity (> 25% 30%).
- High CEC (> 50 meq/100g).
- Low matrix cementation, low quartz and carbonates content (< 30% combined).
- Low strength (UCS and cohesion (S_o) below 1000 psi 7.0 MPa).
- Low stiffness (lower Young's modulus value).
- Low friction angle (< 15 degrees).
- Low compressional wave velocities (< 2500 m/s 8,200 ft/s).
- Tendency to cause shale-related borehole instability problems during drilling / tripping



* Will form barriers naturally, without artificial stimulation



SAAB Artificial Shale Barrier Stimulation

Pressure induced

Three Methods

Thermal Stimulation

- Elevated temperature accelerates creep rate
- Elevated temperature generated using a downhole heater / heating mechanism
- Does not require annular access

Pressure Shock

- Pressure drops weakens rock, accelerates creep
- Typically accomplished using packers and low hydrostatic head
- Requires annular access to expose rock to low pressure

Chemical Annular Fluid Change

- Accelerates creep through physico-chemical changes (ionexchange, permeability changes)
- Requires annular access to expose rock to changes annular fluid chemistry



Figure from Kristiansen et al. (2018)





Combined mechanisms



Temperature induced

Thermal Stimulation – Heating Profile for Field Heater





Heating Source: bismuth alloy candle (figure courtesy of Isol8)



SAAB Experimental Set-Up









Creep Deformation and Annular Closure











Previous Results: Elevated Temperature

IADC/SPE 217694 - Thermal stimulation of Annual Shale Barriers for Long-Term Well Integrity

Previous Results: Elevated Temperature Test - 2







Previous Results: Elevated Temperature Test - 3







Sample showed delamination after unloading, not observed in other tests – effect of elevated temperature!

Previous Results: Elevated Temperature Test - 4





Post CT- Scan without Rod



New Experimental Data – SAAB Testing @ 150°C - 1



The University of Texas at Austin

TotalEnergies

New Experimental Data – SAAB Testing @ 150°C - 2







New Experimental Data – Thermal Expansion Testing - 1





"Thermal hardening" was also observed during thermal expansion tests on North Sea Lark and Shetland shales



New Experimental Data – Thermal Expansion Testing - 2





"Thermal hardening" resulted in "healing" of Lark and Shetland core plugs



Discussion



Unstable Failure point Destabilised Stable Failure point Normal Effective Stress Stable And/or swelling pressure state Stable And/or swelling pressure state

150°C (New SAAB test - this work)







Thermal dilation / expansion Pore-pressure elevation Effective stress reduction Shale damage / crack (re-)opening

55°C (In-Situ Temperature)





Literature Data for Nuclear Waste Containment Claystones



Data by Belmokhtar et al. (2017), showing strain behavior of COx claystone.



Data by Monfared et al. (2011), showing strain behavior of Opalinus claystone.





Data by Delage et al. (2000), showing strain behavior of Boom Clay.

Literature data on thermal heating of claystones and shales used for nuclear waste containment show a "thermal hardening" effect, with initial thermal expansion upon heating (pore fluid expansion), followed the contraction and thermal consolidation upon prolonged heating



Safe Upper Limit on Heating / Thermal Stimulation





Mineralogical changes in shale from the Lujaping formation treated at different temperatures (100°C, 200°C, 300°C, 400°C, 500°C) as reported by Suo et al. (2020). Note the disappearance of mixed layer smectite/illite and the increase of illite with temperature (diagenesis).

Literature data shows safe upper limits to be 300°C, preferably keeping heating temperature locally below 200°C

Conclusions



- 1. Shales such as the North Sea Lark and Shetland Shales show a "Thermal Hardening" effect (increasing temperature leads to pore fluid expansion and dilation, followed by subsequent shale contraction and consolidation). Explains disking cracking caused by effective stress reduction observed for Lark Shale in SAAB tests at 85°C, and its re-healing caused by thermo-plastic consolidation observed at 150°C in the present study.
- 2. Literature study indicates that changes in shale permeability, porosity, hydration state, and rock strength are all fully reversible up to a threshold temperature in the range of 200°C 300°C, with 200°C representing a safe, "no-risk" limit and 300°C representing a maximum upper limit.
- 3. Slow heating of up to a 5°C/hr temperature increase as used in the SAAB tests described here does not negatively affect shales / claystones and, in fact, beneficially accelerates the creeping process that forms annular barriers up to temperatures of 150°C and most likely beyond this temperature (up to a threshold temperature of 200°C 300°C).
- It is recommended to test the effect of higher heating rates in the range of 100°C/hr 200°C/hr temperature increase associated with the field use of downhole heaters. (NOTE: SAAB tests with heating rate as high as 42°C/min temperature increase have now been completed)



SAAB Phase II – Effect of Poor Annular Cementation



Intact cement, subjected to repeated pressure cycles until debonding occurs, subjected to shale



Rubble-ized cement. filling annulus and being subjected to shale creep response

The University of Texas at Austin



TotalEnergies

SAAB Phase III – Shale Barrier Integrity in CCS/CCUS Wells









Acknowledgements



- TotalEnergies and Equinor for supporting this work and for the supply of shale material.
- Bill Lowry and Andrew Louden of Isol8 for agreeing to share their thermal modeling work.
- This work is partly supported by the sponsors of the RAPID industry affiliate program at UT Austin

Literature sources quoted in the presentation

- Belmokhtar, M., Delage, P., Ghabezloo, S., & Conil, N. (2017). Thermal Volume Changes and Creep in the Callovo-Oxfordian Claystone. Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering, 50(9), 2297–2309. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00603-017-1238-7
- Kristiansen, T. G., Dyngeland, T., Kinn, S., Flatebø, R., & Aarseth, N. A. (2018, September 24). Activating Shale to Form Well Barriers: Theory and Field Examples. SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition. https://doi.org/10.2118/191607-MS
- Monfared, M., Sulem, J., Delage, P., & Mohajerani, M. (2011). A Laboratory Investigation on Thermal Properties of the Opalinus Claystone. Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering, 44(6), 735. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00603-011-0171-4
- Suo, Y., Chen, Z., & Rahman, S. S. (2020). Changes in Shale Rock Properties and Wave Velocity Anisotropy Induced by Increasing Temperature. Natural Resources Research, 29(6), 4073–4083. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11053-020-09693-5



Disclaimer and copyright reservation



Definition - TotalEnergies / Company

The entities in which TotalEnergies SE directly or indirectly holds an interest are separate and independent legal entities. The terms "TotalEnergies", "TotalEnergies company" and "Company" used in this document are used to refer to TotalEnergies SE and its affiliates included in the scope of consolidation. Similarly, the terms "we", "us", "our" may also be used to refer to these entities or their employees. It cannot be inferred from the use of these expressions that TotalEnergies SE or any of its affiliates is involved in the business or management of any other company of the TotalEnergies company.

Disclaimer

This presentation may include forward-looking statement within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 with respect to the financial condition, results of operations, business, strategy and plans of TotalEnergies that are subject to risk factors and uncertainties caused by changes in, without limitation, technological development and innovation, supply sources, legal framework, market conditions, political or economic events.

TotalEnergies does not assume any obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Further information on factors which could affect the company's financial results is provided in documents filed by TotalEnergies with the French *Autorité des Marchés Financiers* and the US Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, no reliance may be placed on the accuracy or correctness of any such statements.

Copyright

All rights are reserved and all material in this presentation may not be reproduced without the express written permission of TotalEnergies.